

By Jesse Jose

*A Cup O' Kapeng Barako*

**Y es, it's grim. Chaos and destruction are everywhere. Dead bodies litter the streets. Survivors, if there are, and the dead, are still being dug from the rubbles . . .**

□

□

**But the Haiti tragedy is not my story this week. My story is about a book, a book of smut, that is, and what it contains.**

## **“Game Change,” a Book of Smut - MabuhayRadio**

Written by

Friday, 15 January 2010 10:11 - Last Updated Friday, 15 January 2010 10:32

---

**Just before that monster earthquake struck Haiti, the buzz in every town and every TV and radio talk shows all over America was the book, “Game Change,” written by two journalists, John Heilemann of *New York magazine* and Mark Halperin of *Time magazine*.**

□

**In it, they revealed that during the 2008 presidential campaign, Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid referred to President Obama, then a fellow senator, as someone who could win the White House because he was a “light-skinned” black man, “with no NEGRO dialect, unless he wanted to have one.”**

And that racial slur, of course, created an explosion of talks by TV and newspaper pundits all over America and by bloggers on the Internet. Mostly those pundits and bloggers were Black Americans ... or are they now called, African Americans?

From what I understand, the Negro word, used to be uttered as, “Nigger.” Then, it became “Colored.” Then that word evolved into the words, “Black American.”

I believe that’s no longer politically correct.

It’s now, “African American.”

But why call America’s Blacks, African Americans? They are not Africans? They didn’t come

Written by

Friday, 15 January 2010 10:11 - Last Updated Friday, 15 January 2010 10:32

---

from  
were born here.

Africa? They

They are Americans.

Really, what’s wrong with the word, “Negro”? Or the word, “Filipino,” for that matter? Or the word, “Hindu”?

Or even the word, “Caucasian”?

Or “Redneck”?

***E ditor’s Note:*** It may be a happy coincidence that today, Bobby Reyes published also his latest article in this website’s History Section: [The Term “Caucasian” Is a Historical Aberration](#)

The word “Negro,” is still being used, like, for instance, “The United Negro College Fund.” And the word, “Nigger,” is still vogue among Black youths in calling each other by that name.

Let's call a spade a spade. I said this before and I'll say it again: If it quacks like a duck, walks like a duck, looks like a duck, well, to me (I don't know about you), it's a duck.

As to the words, “light-skinned,” in describing the color of one's skin, what's also wrong with that? I am a “brown-skinned” Filipino. That's a fact. I am not offended by that. My good friend and neighbor and walking partner, Jerry Lytle, is a “white-skinned” dude.

Sometimes, I would tell him, “Hey, good buddy, you better get some sun, you're beginning to look like a ghost.

Your skin is too white.”

He's not offended by that.

When I used to live in Florida, I became good friends with a white dude there, named “Bis,” whose neck would turn all red under the Florida sun, and I used to call him, “Red,” for his red neck. I don't remember him getting offended by that, too.

True, America is moving further away from its racist past, but race and perceived racial slurs will always remain THE fault line of this country ... like the color of one's skin, like the duck, that will always quack as a duck. And that's the reality of it.

**THE SMUT ON SARAH PALIN:** In this book, Sarah Palin was portrayed as an “ignoramus,” who didn’t know the difference between North Korea and South Korea and who believed *daw* that Saddam Hussein was behind the 9/11 attack.

She was also depicted as “mentally unstable, with wild mood swings.”

“One minute, Palin would be her perky self; the next she would fall into a strange blue funk,” the authors wrote.

On the day of her disastrous interview with CBS’ primetime news anchor, Katie Couric, they said that Palin’s “eyes were glassy and dead” and that when make-up artists had prepped her up for the show, Palin said, “I hate this make up.” She then “smeared the make up off her face, messed up her hair, and complained that she looked fat.” Then, when she went on to give answers to Couric, she sounded “so incoherent” that the interview damaged her image.

The authors also said that when Palin’s aides tried to quiz her preparedness in answering questions from the media, “she would routinely shut down – chin on her chest, arms folded, eyes cast to the floor, speechless and motionless, lost in what those around her described as a kind of CATATONIC stupor.”

When Sarah Palin was asked in an interview with Bill O'Reilly on FOX news about this SMUT written about her, she slowly shook her head and sadly said, “How could they have known? They weren't there....”

By the way, do y'all know that Ms. Palin is now a FOX News analyst/commentator ... and check this out, second on the list as “the most admired women” in America. Topping the list is State Secretary Hillary Clinton.

Last on the list is Michelle Obama, right after Erin Nordegren, the wife of Tiger Woods.

**THE SMUT ON BILL CLINTON:** The authors of “Game Change” also wrote in their book that during that presidential campaign, Bill Clinton, the “Big Dog,” was rumored to be in his philandering self again. And that after some “discreet fact-finding by aides” concluded that “Bill was indeed having an affair, NOT a frivolous one-night stand, but a sustained romantic relationship.”

Tee-hee, so what? He's THE big dick, I mean, the Big Dog. He can do that. I got no problem with that. Bill, to me, is the main man among little-minded men, if y'all get my drift.

Did you hear that a biography of Warren Beatty, also released this week with that smutty book, Game Change, claims the 72-year-old actor has slept with “12,7775 women, give or take, a figure that does not include daytime quickies, drive-bys, casual gropings, stolen kisses and so on”? The biographer, Peter Biskind says that Beatty was “insatiable” in his heydays as a Hollywood icon, having sex as many as seven times a day....”

Oh my!

How many viagras did that take, I wonder.

Hey Tiger, you heard that? You only had nine mistresses, and you got into big time trouble that ruined your career and drove your greedy wife to claim most of your millions.

Life ain't fair, that's for sure.

Well, Tiger, you ain't Bill, the “Big Dog” nor Beatty, the “Hollywood FM,” so there, suffer, dude.

{xtypo\_quote} For the record, Jesse Jose was the first Filipino-American columnist, if not the first Filipino writer in the entire world, to question on May 2, 2007, the character of then very-popular Democratic presidential aspirant, John Edwards . . . – MabuhayRadio.com editor {/xtypo\_quote}

Written by

Friday, 15 January 2010 10:11 - Last Updated Friday, 15 January 2010 10:32

---

**THE SMUT ON JOHN AND ELIZABETH EDWARDS:** I think one of the smuttiest portions in the book, was when it was revealed that former Sen. John Edwards' affair with his videographer was an “open secret” among his aides.

Okay, perhaps, that's not too shocking. It happens all the time among famous men. The shocking revelation was when the authors described the cancer-stricken Elizabeth Edwards, a lawyer herself like her husband, as a “scornful woman,” who treated her senator husband as “intellectually inferior” to her.

The authors also said that Elizabeth Edwards was “an abusive, intrusive, paranoid, condescending, crazy woman.”

And when her husband's affair was exposed, “she fought with him in public and tore open her blouse to reveal her lumpectomy.” And then “wailed at her husband: ‘Look at me!’” She then staggered and fell to the ground ... like a scene taken out from a Filipino soapy opera.

I heard there are several more juicy bits of smuts revealed in this book. I tell ya, I must soon go to Barnes and Noble and buy this book, before it's all gone.

America  
loves to read SMUT, especially smut on  
America

's elite.

**JJ**

***E ditor's Note:*** For the record, Jesse Jose was the first Filipino-American columnist, if not the first Filipino writer in the entire world, to question on May 2, 2007, the character of then very-popular Democratic presidential aspirant, John Edwards. Readers may like to read again Mr. Jose's column—and this editor's commentary about Mr. Jose's remarks—about former Senator Edwards in these links:

[John Edwards' Haircut Boggles Jesse Jose's Mind](#)

[Jesse Jose Was Right on John Edwards' \(Fake\) Character](#)

[Joomla SEO powered by JoomSEF](#)