

BHO and Guantanamo

By Gerry Garrison

In This Corner ...

A fter listening to the latest news on the renewal of military tribunals at Guantanamo, I only have to say, "Here we go again". The decision reverses the claim by this administration and other critics of military tribunals because of the failure to let these detainees would have no constitutional rights and fail provide judicial review of cases considered by the review board as well as guaranteeing assistance of counsel, to these detainees. Really? Are you kidding me?

Since when do terrorists have constitutional rights? Especially those who were responsible for the 9-11 attacks on this country. Does anyone remember Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, the master mind of these attacks? This evil individual is the person that Eric Holder wanted to prosecute in criminal courts in New York City. How's that working out for you, Eric?

President Obama issued the order for this change to "broaden our ability to bring terrorists to justice," via an executive order issued on Monday. However, the administration is also stating they will consider using the civilian court system, for "swift justice and severe punishment to those who seek to attack us." Seriously? I am sure a trial that lasts 2 to 3 years or more is "swift justice". Right! Does any one in the world who has any common sense and has been watching this administration try to deal with these detainees believe this?

This administration is also letting the world know of their support of more international agreements on humane treatment of detainees. The president believes if treat these terrorists fairly, the mistreatment of U.S. military personnel, should they be captured, would also be

treated fairly. I am sure Al Qaeda or the Taliban will take this in consideration before they torture, then kill any military prisoners of war. Who is kidding who here? Who seriously thinks any of military personnel, if captured by these terrorist, would be treated fairly?

Military tribunals do not equate to the civilian court system, and as a lawyer, Obama and Holder know this. These terrorists are not "prisoners of war" and do not fall under the Geneva Accords. They should pay just as the Nazis, who came to this country during WWII, to do harm to this country and were rightly tried, convicted and punished by death, under the administration of President Roosevelt, one of the heroes of the Democrats.

Now Mr. Obama is also doing the "rattling of swords" when it comes to Libya's Colonel Kaddafi. He is "warning" those in leadership to stop attacking the rebels, and there is some threat of a no-fly zone over Libya

. With this President's record of backing away from any action that would "make us look bad" to the world. He hasn't had a clear policy on how to deal with this or any other of the Middle East

crisis that is going on in many of the Middle Eastern countries in the past month. Because he has waffled on so many occasions, and because he has failed to deal with any crisis in a timely manner, President Obama has placed himself in a corner in which he will have a difficult time digging his way out of. With his lack of "executive experience" as well as most of his advisers, Mr. Obama is unable to make a quick decision without those around him advising him. The problem with this, when it comes to military action, the military is advising him to do one thing and his advisers another.

These problems are only going to get worse, because this man changes his mind at the drop of a hat. He now has the ACLU mad at him, as if I care. I am sure we'll see some waffling on all of this before long. On top of everything else this man has been dealing with, I don't believe he can make a decision he can stick with. But what do I know? Just saying . . .

Life is short. Forgive quickly. Kiss slowly. Love truly. Laugh uncontrollably, and never regret anything that made you smile.

[Joomla SEO powered by JoomSEF](#)